1 2 3 4	KATHY BAZOIAN PHELPS (State Bar No. 15. <i>kphelps@diamondmccarthy.com</i> DIAMOND MCCARTHY LLP 1999 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1100 Los Angeles, California 90067-4402 Telephone: (310) 651-2997	5564)
5	Successor Receiver	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
9		
10	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. 3:16-cv-01386-EMC
11	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF KATHY BAZOIAN PHELPS IN SUPPORT OF
12	v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION BY
13	JOHN V. BIVONA; SADDLE RIVER	RECEIVER KATHY BAZOIAN PHELPS PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 7-1:
14 15	ADVISORS, LLC; SRA MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATES, LLC; FRANK GREGORY MAZZOLA,	TO (1) EXCUSE DEADLINE RE TAX OPINION; AND (2) SHORTEN TIME FOR NOTICE ON MOTION TO EMPLOY
16	Defendants, and	PROFESSIONALS AND FOR INSTRUCTIONS
17 18 19 20	SRA I LLC; SRA II LLC; SRA III LLC; FELIX INVESTMENTS, LLC; MICHELE J. MAZZOLA; ANNE BIVONA; CLEAR SAILING GROUP IV LLC; CLEAR SAILING GROUP V LLC,	Date: No Hearing Set Time: No Hearing Set Judge: Edward M. Chen
21	Relief Defendants.	
22		
23	I, Kathy Bazoian Phelps, declare:	
24	1. I am the Receiver appointed by this Court for SRA Management Associates, LLC,	
25	SRA I LLC, SRA II LLC, SRA III, LLC, Clear Sailing Group IV LLC, Clear Sailing Group V	
26	LLC, NYPA Fund I LLC, NYPA II Fund II LLC, NYPA Management Associates LLC, Felix	
27	Multi-Opportunity Funds I and II, LLC, and FMOF Management Associates, LLC (collectively,	
28	Case No. 3:16-cv-01386-EMC; DECLARATION OF K. PHELPS IN SUPPORT OF RECEIVER' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO (1) EXCUSE DEADLINE RE TAX OPINION; AND (2) SHORTEN	

TIME FOR NOTICE ON MOTION TO EMPLOY PROFESSIONALS AND FOR INSTRUCTIONS

"Receivership Entities"), pursuant to the Revised Order Appointing Receiver entered on February 28, 2019 ("Receiver Order"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration, and, if called to testify, could testify competently thereto.

- 2. I submit this Declaration in support of the Motion of Receiver, Kathy Bazoian Phelps, to Employ Professionals and for Instructions.
- 3. In accordance with Local Rule 7-11, prior to filing this Administrative Motion, I conferred with counsel for the Securities and Exchange Commission, John Yun, and counsel for the SRA Investor Group, Elizabeth Pritzker, who advised that they do not object to the Motion.
- 4. Following the hearing on the Distribution Plan ("Plan") on June 27, 2019, I have identified Miller Kaplan as a tax advisor to render the tax opinion requested by the SRA Investor Group and ordered by the Court. I have been in active discussions with Miller Kaplan since the hearing on the Plan, and it has become apparent that there two principal approaches to handling the tax issues: (1) Scenario 1 (the circumstances identified in the Plan) is to treat both IPO Shares and Pre-IPO Shares as part of the qualified settlement fund ("QSF"), which is the lower risk, lower cost option but could result in potentially higher taxes; or (2) Scenario 2 (as an alternative approach to try to mitigate tax liability) is to try to obtain an IRS ruling that the Pre-IPO Shares are not part of the QSF, which will be higher risk, higher cost, could result in delays and securities issues, but could potentially result in lower taxes.
- 5. I have also consulted with securities counsel to seek guidance on the securities ramifications of proceeding under Scenario 1 or 2. I am finalizing terms of engagement with both Miller Kaplan and securities counsel and intend to file a motion seeking approval of the employment of these professionals, and to also seek instructions from the Court as to whether to pursue Scenario 1 or 2. These different Scenarios come with different costs, risks and delays, and I believe that it is appropriate that both the Court and the interest parties be advised of these issues before a determination is made as to how to proceed and before I can move forward with employing a tax advisor to pursue Scenario 2.
 - 6. The SRA Investor Group has not yet taken a position on these issues and I believe

Case 3:16-cv-01386-EMC Document 512-1 Filed 08/09/19 Page 3 of 3

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

that a noticed motion and hearing are most appropriate to allow the parties to fully consider the issues and formulate a response (the "Instructions Motion"). I have conferred with both the SEC and the SRA Investor Group to discuss the timing of the Instructions Motion, and all parties believe that shortened time for hearing on the Instructions Motion is appropriate so that the case can move forward as quickly and efficiently as possible.

7. The SEC and the SRA Investor Group have agreed to shortened time for hearing so that the Motion, to be filed no later than August 15, 2019, could be heard on September 12, 2019, with any opposition due on August 29, 2019 and any reply due on September 5, 2019.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 9, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Kathy Bazoian Phelps
Kathy Bazoian Phelps, Receiver